A New Airspace for Aviation Education

Assoc. Prof. Mary Ann F. Aballiar-Vista
National Aviation Academy of the Philippines
maryannaballiar@gmail.com

__Mary Ann F. Aballiar-Vista__ is an Associate Professor III at the Philippine State College of Aeronautics, now the National Aviation Academy of the Philippines under the Institute of Computer Studies. She also serves as the College's AACCUP Coordinator in the Quality Assurance Office. She is a recognized AACCUP Accreditor, invited to evaluate academic programs in State Universities and Colleges across the country. She is a Certified Trainer in Airline Reservation and Ticketing and is proud to be a Philippine Air Force Reservist with the rank of Technical Sergeant. Currently pursuing a Doctor of Philosophy major in Engineering Management, she also holds a Master of Education in Aeronautical Management and a Bachelor of Science in Computer Engineering. With a strong background in teaching, research, and quality assurance, Professor Aballiar-Vista has authored instructional manuals, co-authored institutional research projects, supervised numerous undergraduate capstone studies, and actively participated in national and international conferences. Her research interests include aviation information systems, IT innovations in education, quality assurance, and higher education development. In addition to her academic and professional roles, she is a book author and a proud mother to her son, Luke Amaris, who is her most significant source of inspiration.

Teaching the Future Flightline

How AI-Ready Aviation and Aviation IT Programs Can Prepare Students Beyond the Simulator

Artificial intelligence, smart wearables, simulation, and digital maintenance systems are moving aviation education toward a new kind of readiness: one that combines technical skill, data literacy, ethical judgment, and safety-centered human decision-making.

Introduction

Aviation education is entering a different kind of airspace. For many years, innovation in training was closely associated with better simulators, sharper visuals, and more efficient maintenance laboratories. These tools remain important, but they are no longer the full measure of readiness. Artificial intelligence is beginning to reshape how aviation students learn, how instructors assess progress, and how academic programs prepare graduates for a data-driven industry. The shift is not simply from classroom to simulator. It is from static training to intelligent, adaptive, and evidence-informed learning.

This matters because the aviation workplace is already being influenced by systems that predict, classify, recommend, monitor, and adapt. Pilots, maintenance personnel, operations specialists, dispatchers, and aviation information technology professionals are increasingly expected to work beside intelligent systems. If colleges and universities continue to teach only the traditional mechanics of aviation without also developing AI literacy, they risk preparing students for yesterday's flightline rather than tomorrow's.

From AI Integration to AI Readiness

Recent aviation-education literature suggests that AI should not be treated as a classroom novelty. Albelo and McIntire (2023) argued that aviation educators must guide the use of AI as a tool for writing, thinking, and ethics rather than allowing it to become an unchecked shortcut. Their later exploratory case study also showed that faculty and students recognized the promise of ChatGPT and similar tools for learning support, engagement, and productivity, while also identifying concerns about overreliance and weakened instructor-student interaction (Albelo & McIntire, 2024).

These findings point to an important distinction: AI integration is not the same as AI readiness. Integration may mean allowing students to use a chatbot for an assignment. Readiness means teaching students when AI is helpful, when it is limited, when it may be misleading, and when human expertise must remain dominant. In aviation, that distinction is not merely academic. It is directly connected to discipline, accountability, and safety culture.

Beyond the Simulator

Flight training offers one of the clearest examples of this emerging shift. AI-based simulator pre-training and machine-learning-supported simulation systems show how training environments may become more dynamic and responsive to student performance. Guthridge (2025) examined the transfer effectiveness of an AI-based simulator pre-training program for student pilots, while Kallstrom, Granlund, and Heintz (2022) explored simulation-based pilot training systems that use machine learning agents. Together, these studies suggest that the next generation of training systems can become more adaptive than purely scripted simulator scenarios.

Figure 1: AI-enhanced simulation is expanding aviation training beyond traditional cockpit repetition.
Source: Author-generated illustration using AI.

However, the central lesson is that students must be prepared beyond the simulator. A simulator can teach control, procedure, repetition, and scenario response. AI-ready aviation education must also teach interpretation. If an intelligent system flags a student as at risk, what data produced that result? If a training module changes the difficulty level of a scenario, what assumptions guided that adaptation? If automated feedback recommends additional practice, how should an instructor validate the recommendation? Students need to know how to use smart tools, but they also need to know how to question them.

"AI-ready aviation education is not about teaching students to trust intelligent systems automatically. It is about teaching them to verify, interpret, and act responsibly."

Maintenance Education in the Age of Intelligent Tools

The same need is visible in aviation maintenance education. Maintenance training is becoming increasingly connected to virtual systems, analytics platforms, intelligent diagnostics, and digital records. Yan, Zhang, and Chen (2022) presented a virtual aircraft maintenance training system that uses gesture recognition, demonstrating how intelligent and immersive environments can support procedural learning. Kabashkin and colleagues (2025) also proposed a digital-twin-based ecosystem for aviation maintenance training, pointing to a future where learners engage with procedure, simulation, data, and system monitoring in one connected space.

Figure 2. Virtual and intelligent maintenance environments are reshaping how future technicians learn procedural accuracy.
Source: Author-generated illustration using AI.

A Philippine-based contribution further strengthens this discussion. Dela Pena, Clariza, and Aballiar-Vista (2026) examined smart wearables for safer and traceable aircraft maintenance through an implementation, safety, and traceability framework. This type of work is highly relevant to aviation education because wearables can connect human activity, task performance, documentation, and safety monitoring. In an instructional setting, smart wearables may help students understand how maintenance actions can be recorded, traced, reviewed, and improved. They also emphasize that future maintenance professionals must be prepared not only to perform tasks, but also to work within digital systems that document and support those tasks.

Figure 3. Smart wearables can support safer, more traceable maintenance, learning, and documentation.
Source: Author-generated illustration using AI.

This development has practical meaning for aviation schools. Future maintenance professionals may need to understand not only mechanical procedures but also fault-detection dashboards, digital logs, wearable-supported task records, sensor-based information, and predictive maintenance outputs. A graduate who can follow a procedure is important. A graduate who can follow the procedure, interpret supporting data, and recognize the limits of a recommendation system will be even more prepared for the modern aviation workplace.

The Opportunity for Aviation Information Technology

For Aviation Information Technology programs, this moment creates a major opportunity. Many schools still separate operational aviation training from information systems education. Yet the industry increasingly connects them. A future operations specialist may rely on AI-assisted safety analytics. A future pilot may encounter decision-support systems shaped by machine learning. A future maintenance analyst may work with digital twins, anomaly detection, smart wearables, and predictive maintenance platforms. In each case, the strongest graduate is one who understands both aviation context and intelligent systems.

Figure 4. Aviation IT programs can bridge operational training and data-driven decision support.

Source: Author-generated illustration using AI.

This means Aviation IT curricula should not stop at software operation or basic system familiarization. Students should be exposed to the data logic behind intelligent aviation tools. They should learn how information is captured, cleaned, stored, analyzed, displayed, and protected. They should also understand cybersecurity, data privacy, interface design, and the risks of automation bias. In a safety-critical field, the design and use of digital systems must always be connected to human accountability.

Embedding AI Literacy Across the Curriculum

AI readiness should not be limited to one elective subject. It can be embedded across the curriculum in practical and disciplined ways. Ground school courses can include AI-supported research tasks with strict verification requirements. Flight-training subjects can introduce AI-based debriefing tools while emphasizing that automated feedback must be interpreted, not blindly accepted. Maintenance courses can use virtual and intelligent environments to teach both hands-on procedures and data-supported diagnosis. Aviation IT courses can use aviation case studies to teach prediction, anomaly detection, interface design, cybersecurity awareness, and responsible system deployment.

This approach also changes the role of faculty. Teachers do not need to compete with AI tools. Instead, they need to guide students in using them properly. The instructor remains the designer of learning, the evaluator of judgment, and the guardian of academic and professional standards. AI can support explanation, feedback, simulation, traceability, and data analysis, but it cannot replace the professional responsibility that aviation education must cultivate.

Keeping Ethics and Safety at the Center

Ethics must remain at the center of AI-ready aviation education. AI systems can produce polished answers that appear confident even when they are incomplete, biased, or incorrect. In many fields, this may lead to ordinary mistakes. In aviation, it can affect safety thinking. Students must therefore learn to verify AI-generated claims, check sources, compare outputs with accepted standards, and identify when a system's recommendation should be challenged.
The goal is not to produce graduates who instinctively trust AI. The goal is to produce graduates who can collaborate with AI responsibly. They should know how to benefit from intelligent systems without surrendering judgment to them. They should understand that safety-critical work requires evidence, discipline, and accountability. AI may accelerate learning, but it should never weaken professional rigor.

Building Future-Flightline Graduates

The institutions that will lead in aviation education are not necessarily those that purchase the most advanced technologies. They are the institutions that redesign learning around intelligent systems while preserving the human foundations of aviation: judgment, communication, teamwork, discipline, accountability, and safety. AI readiness is not about replacing instructors, reducing rigor, or automating expertise. It is about preparing students for a profession where technical skill and intelligent-system awareness will increasingly go hand in hand.
The next generation of aviation professionals will not simply fly aircraft, maintain fleets, or manage systems. They will work in environments where data speaks constantly and algorithms shape decisions in the background. Colleges that recognize this now can build graduates who are not only simulator-ready, but future-flightline-ready.

References

Albelo, J. L. D., & McIntire, S. L. (2023). How to embrace artificial intelligence in aviation education? The Collegiate Aviation Review International, 41(2). https://doi.org/10.22488/okstate.24.100214

Albelo, J. L., & McIntire, S. (2024). An exploratory single-case study unveiling the promise of artificial intelligence in aviation education. Journal of Aviation/Aerospace Education & Research, 33(4). https://doi.org/10.58940/2329-258X.2042

Dela Pena, A., Clariza, J., & Aballiar-Vista, M. A. (2026). Smart wearables for safer and traceable aircraft maintenance: An implementation, safety, and traceability framework. Journal of Interdisciplinary Perspectives, 4(6), 359-373. https://jippublication.com/index.php/jip/article/view/3069

Guthridge, R. (2025). Quantifying the transfer effectiveness of an artificial intelligence-based simulator pre-training program for student pilots. Journal of Aviation/Aerospace Education & Research, 34(1). https://doi.org/10.58940/2329-258X.2062

Jenkins, P. R., Caballero, W. N., & Hill, R. R. (2022). Predicting success in United States Air Force pilot training using machine learning techniques. Socio-Economic Planning Sciences, 79, 101121. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seps.2021.101121

Kabashkin, I., et al. (2025). Digital-twin-based ecosystem for aviation maintenance training. Information, 16(7), 586. https://www.mdpi.com/2078-2489/16/7/586
Kallstrom, J., Granlund, R., & Heintz, F. (2022). Design of simulation-based pilot training systems using machine learning agents. The Aeronautical Journal, 126(1300), 907-931. https://doi.org/10.1017/aer.2022.8
Mouillet, L., et al. (2022). A machine learned go-around prediction model using pilot-in-the-loop simulations. Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies, 141, 103761. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0968090X22001425
Yan, Y., Zhang, L., & Chen, M. (2022). AGRMTS: A virtual aircraft maintenance training system using gesture recognition based on PSO-BPNN model. Computer Animation and Virtual Worlds, 33(1), e2031. https://doi.org/10.1002/cav.2031